



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,839	11/12/2003	Taner Dosluoglu	DSR14446	6930
7590	01/24/2006		EXAMINER	
STEPHEN B. ACKERMAN 28 DAVIS AVENUE POUGHKEEPSIE, NY 12603			WEISS, HOWARD	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ASK

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/706,839	DOSLUOGLU, TANER	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Howard Weiss	2814	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 December 2005.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 11-34 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

Attorney's Docket Number: DSR14446

Filing Date: 11/12/03

Continuing Data: Claims benefit of 60/450,089 (2/26/03); RCE established 12/16/05

Claimed Foreign Priority Date: none

Applicant(s): Dosluoglu

Examiner: Howard Weiss

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/16/05 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1 to 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. As described in the amended claims and the description section (Page 6 Lines 9 and 10), the overlap region is "designed such that the potential of said P well can cause said overlap region to be depleted or not depleted of charge carriers." However, there is no specifics as how to design said overlap region except to be able to connect the N well and the deep N well (Page 6 Line 8). One of ordinary skill would not be enabled to "design" an overlap region having the properties as claimed from this description in the Specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1 to 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Iwanami et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,906,856).

Iwanami et al. show all aspects of the instant invention (e.g. Figure 2a) including:

- a p type silicon substrate 1
- an N well 3 form in said substrate and a P well 5 formed in said N well
- a deep N well 2 formed beneath said P well
- an overlap region formed between said N well and said deep N well providing electrical communication between these two wells
- a first 8 and second 8' N regions formed in said P well and a P region 4 formed in said N well
- all part of an active pixel array (e.g. Figure 3)

In reference to the claim language referring to how the overlap regions reacts to potential changes (re. depleted charge carries during a charge integration period), intended use and other types of functional language must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967); *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

In reference to the claim language pertaining to how the overlap region reacts to either the depletion or non-depletion of charge carriers, the claiming of a new use,

new function, or unknown property which is inherently present in the prior art does not necessarily make the claim patentable. *In re Best*, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977) and *In re Swinehart*, 439 F. 2d 210, 169 USPQ 226 (CCPA 1971); please see MPEP § 2112. Since Iwanami et al. show all the features of the claimed invention, how the overlap region reacts to either the depletion or non-depletion of charge carriers is an inherent property of Iwanami et al.'s invention.

6. Claims 18 to 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Watanabe et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,023,293).

Watanabe et al. show all aspects of the instant invention (e.g. Figures 2 and 11) including:

- a n type silicon substrate 100
- an P well 120 form in said substrate and a N well 140 formed in said P well
- a deep P well 110 formed beneath said N well
- an overlap region formed between said P well and said deep P well providing electrical communication between these two wells
- a first 142 and second 143 P regions formed in said N well and a N region 26 formed in said N well
- all part of an active pixel array (e.g. Figure 19)

In reference to the claim language referring to how the overlap regions reacts to potential changes (re. depleted charge carries during a charge integration period), intended use and other types of functional language must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967); *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

In reference to the claim language pertaining to how the overlap region reacts to either the depletion or non-depletion of charge carriers, the claiming of a new use,

new function, or unknown property which is inherently present in the prior art does not necessarily make the claim patentable. *In re Best*, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977) and *In re Swinehart*, 439 F. 2d 210, 169 USPQ 226 (CCPA 1971); please see MPEP § 2112. Since Watanabe et al. show all the features of the claimed invention, how the overlap region reacts to either the depletion or non-depletion of charge carriers is an inherent property of Watanabe et al.'s invention.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 12/16/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Examiner's response in the Office Action mailed 9/14/05 is still pertinent and considered repeated herein. Additionally, the Specification does not describe how the overlap regions are to be designed except to be positioned between the N and deep N regions. The depletion or non-depletion of the overlap regions seems to dependent upon the potential applied to the P well (e.g. Page 6 Lines 13 to 22 and Page 7 Lines 5 to 10). Since the structures shown in the prior art are capable of performing the intended use (i.e. each structure has an overlap region between an N region and a deep N region and can be influenced by an applied potential to a P region), they meet the limitations as stated in the pending claims.

Because of these reasons and those stated in the present office action, the stated claims remain rejected.

Conclusion

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should

Art Unit: 2814

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at **866-217-9197** (toll-free).

9. Papers related to this application may be submitted directly to Art Unit 2814 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989). The Art Unit 2814 Fax Center number is **(571) 273-8300**. The Art Unit 2814 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to Art Unit 2814 applications.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Howard Weiss at **(571) 272-1720** and between the hours of 7:00 AM to 3:00 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday or by e-mail via Howard.Weiss@uspto.gov. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on **(571) 272-1705**.

11. The following list is the Examiner's field of search for the present Office Action:

Field of Search	Date
U.S. Class / Subclass(es): 257/291, 293, 371	Thru 1/19/06
Other Documentation: none	
Electronic Database(s): EAST	Thru 1/19/06

HW/hw
19 January 2006



Howard Weiss
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2814